UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,896	06/27/2006	Richard Anthony Borman	BJS-620-442	1718
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/11/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	BAEK, BONG-SOOK		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/583,896		BORMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			BONG-SOC	K BAEK	4161				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLD IN IT IN INTERIOR OF THE INTERIOR OF TH	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS S(a). In no even Il apply and will obtained the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 Jun	ne 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 June 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)		<i>′</i> —			osecution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ection reau	irement.					
·	.,		oodon roqu						
	on Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by th				_				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		•						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	ıminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D) Notice of Informal F) Other:	ate				

Election/Restrictions

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-18 are currently pending and subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Restrictions/Species Election

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-3 and 5-6 drawn to a compound of EP₂ receptor agonist

Group II, claims 4 drawn to a method of making the compound.

Group III, claims 9-10 and 15-18 drawn to a method of using the compound.

It is noted claims 7-8 and 11-14 are use claims, which are non-statutory. Thus, claims 7-8 and 11-14 are withdrawn from further action. Applicant is required to cancel or amend said claims to be either product or process claims in accordance with the above groups I, II or III.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under 35 USC 121 or PCT Rule 13.1 because:

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention").

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(a), indicates that the application should relate to only one invention, of if there is more than one invention, inclusion is permitted if they are so slinked to form a single general inventive concept.

Annex B Part 1(b), indicates that "special technical features" means those technical features that as a whole define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B Part 1(c), further defines independent and dependent claims. Unity of invention only is concerned in relation to independent claims. Dependent claims are defined as a claim that contains all the features of another claim and is in the same category as the other claim. The category of a claim refers to the classification of claims according to subject matter e.g. product, process, use, apparatus, means, etc.

Annex B Part 1(e), indicates that the permissible combinations of different categories of claims. Part 1(e), states that inclusion of an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product is permissible.

Annex B, **Part 1(f)**, indicates the "Markush practice" of alternatives in a single claim. **Part 1(f)**, indicates the technical relationship and the same or corresponding special technical feature is considered to be met when (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and (B) a common structure is present or all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Further defining (B), Annex B, **Part 1(f)(i-iii)**, the common structure must; a) occupy a large portion of their structure, or b) the common structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion, or c) where the structures are equivalent and therefore a recognized class of chemical compounds, each member could be substituted for one another with the same intended result. That is, with a common or equivalent structure, there is an expectation relationship and the corresponding special technical feature resulting from a common (or equivalent) structure that is responsible for the common activity (or property).

In the instant case, the common technical feature among the groups I, II, and III is the compound of EP₂ receptor agonist, which is not novel since it is shown by the prior art. WO 99/26629 teaches the same compound as recited in the instant claim 1 and its use as EP₂ receptor agonist (abstract and p7, formula II), thus the lack of a special technical feature is apparent. As such, unity among the above Groups I through III is broken. WO 99/26629 was supplied by Applicant on the IDS received on 11/3/2006.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BONG-SOOK BAEK whose telephone number is 571-270-5863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Nolan can be reached on 571-272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,896 Page 5

Art Unit: 4161

Examiner, Art Unit 4161

Bbs

/Patrick J. Nolan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4161